# Safety Analysis based on Systems Theory applied to an Unmanned Protective Vehicle

Gerrit Bagschik, Torben Stolte, Markus Maurer Technische Universität Braunschweig | Institute of Control Engineering bagschik@ifr.ing.tu-bs.de, stolte@ifr.ing.tu-bs.de, maurer@ifr.ing.tu-bs.de

### **Unmanned protective vehicle**

- Level 4 Automation on public roads
- Reduced environment and speed  $\bullet$
- Application of ISO 26262 development process
- Four operating modes:

#### **Control structure**

- Top-level structure with cascaded control loop
  - *Fast* (inner) control loop for vehicle dynamics (20 ms) ullet
  - Slow (outer) control loop for vehicle in environment (100ms)

- Manual mode
- Safe halt
- Follow mode
- Coupled mode



### **Accidents & Hazards**

- A-1 AFA collides with moving traffic during unmanned operation.  $\bullet$
- A-2 AFA collides with leading vehicle.
- A-3 AFA collides with solid obstacle on hard shoulder.  $\bullet$
- A-4 AFA collides with vulnerable obstacle on hard shoulder.  $\bullet$
- A-5 AFA collides with embankment.
- A-6 AFA collides with moving traffic during manual operation.  $\bullet$
- H-1 AFA leaves hard shoulder to the left / right.



- H-2 AFA drives too close to leading vehicle.
- H-3 AFA does not react to path-blocking object.
- H-4 AFA performs unintended braking / steering / acceleration.
- H-5 AFA stops on on- / off-ramp.
- H-6 AFA operates in follow mode on on- / off-ramps.
- H-7 AFA operates unmanned at forbidden weather conditions.
- H-8 AFA operates unmanned on too narrow hard shoulder.  $\bullet$

## **Chosen context variables**

- Operating mode ullet
  - Manual mode, follow mode, coupled mode, safe halt
- Vehicle dynamic state
  - stopped, driving (10 km/h), driving (60 km/h)
- Environment
  - Highway/rural, hard-shoulder, on/off-ramp
- Obstacle present  $\bullet$

### Discussion

- Control actions need to be assessed by extension of Thomas\* for contextual information
- E.g. Steering or Acceleration can only be hazardous in a certain context which describes the environment
- Previous contribution\*\* shows 108 environment scenes by nearly binary discretization
- Valid abstraction in complex environments is necessary to assess control actions

- yes (solid), yes (vulnerable), no
- Total context 108, revised 36
- Operating mode changes with additional 72 contexts

\* J.Thomas, "Extending and automating a systems-theoretic hazard analysis for requirements generation and analysis", PhD thesis, 2013

\*\*G. Bagschik, A. Reschka, T. Stolte, and M. Maurer, "Identification of Potential Hazardous Events for an Unmanned Protective Vehicle," in 2016 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium Proceedings, accepted to appear



