How smart contracts can implement the policy objective of 'report once'

COST 2018 Focus Session marc.sel@pwc.com

6 September 2018 Winterthur



Contents

Introduction – objectives of the presentation

Overview of the project, regulation and demonstrator

Distributed ledgers in 1 minute

The smart contract demonstrator

- Functionality
- Set-up
- Use cases

Demonstrator constraints

Further references

Introduction – objectives of the presentation

The presentation discusses a demonstrator built for the European Commision's DG FISMA and show how smart contracts can implement 'digital doppelgängers' of OTC derivatives contracts that are traded to help achieve the policy objective of 'report once'.

EC DG FISMA

The Directorate-General for *Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union* is the Commission department responsible for EU policy on banking and finance.

OTC derivatives

Derivatives are financial instruments whose value depends on ('derives from') the values of other, more basic, underlying variables e.g. a stock of a company, or an index such as S&P. Over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives are customized, bilateral agreements that transfer the risk from one party to the other (such as swaps and forwards).

Smart contracts as 'digital doppelgängers'

Smart contracts are executable pieces of software residing on an electronic distributed ledger. In the presented demonstrator, a private Ethereum ledger was used. The smart contracts are a representation of OTC derivatives

Overview of the project

Objectives of the project

Explore possibilities to address the problems of cost of regulatory burdens for banks and financial institutions and inadequate monitoring of risk attached to financial contracts.

Study investigated the feasibility of Distributed Ledger Technologies (DLTs) as the new way of financial institutions to meet their reporting obligations as laid down in EU financial sector legislation.

Novelties

- resulting demonstrator uses smart contracts to meet the policy objective "report once" in financial reporting (EMIR, MIFIR, COREP) in the EU
- Use of ACTUS semantics

Overview of the regulations

EMIR (European Market Infrastructure Regulation) provides that some classes of OTC derivative transactions have to be cleared through Central Counterparties (CCPs), and that risk mitigation techniques have be be applied for other OTC transactions

MiFIR extends the clearing obligation by CCPs to regulated markets for exchange-traded derivatives

COREP, issued by EBA, specifies Guidelines for Common Reporting:

- for Capital Requirements Directive (CRD) reporting
- covers credit risk, market risk, operational risk, own funds and capital adequacy ratio
- when trading each counterparty conducts its own reporting to its own supervisor

Overview of the demonstrator

- The demonstrator shows
 - How digital representations of *Over The Counter (OTC)* derivatives such as bonds or swaps can be implemented as smart contracts on a blockchain. The life-cycle of the derivatives during trading follows the real assets' life-cycle in semi real-time.
 - How blockchain-based reports can assemble and calculate the information required for compliance reporting (COREP, EMIR, MIFIR) towards supervisory bodies
- Today, this reporting information is typically dispersed and difficult to obtain and correctly aggregate. However, in the demonstrator, as all the is present on-chain, these reports contain all required information ('report once')
- The demonstrator is a vehicle to demonstrate Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) concepts, it is not an implementation of a target solution

Distributed ledgers in one minute

Vocabulary

- Users (Alice, Bob, Romeo, ...)
- Applications (cryptocurrency wallet, digital doppelgänger, ...)
- Nodes (alternatively called 'blockchain nodes') •
- Blockchain (concatenation of blocks) -
- 'Consensus' (all nodes agree on which blocks are part of the blockchain)

Single node:

Alice



Set of nodes:



All nodes have an identical copy of the blockchain What is stored in the blockchain is considered immutable

Distributed ledgers in one minute



Which candidate block gets selected?

In most blockchain implementations, nodes try to solve a cryptopuzzle (mathematically hard problem based on hashing), and the first node that solves the puzzle has its candidate block accepted by the other nodes

Once new block is part of the chain, it is considered as immutable, and the transactions as committed on a ledger

Consensus is important

Ensures that the next block in a blockchain is the one and only version of the truth, Keeps powerful adversaries from derailing the system and successfully forking the chain There are many different ways to obtain consensus

The smart contract demonstrator

Functionality of the demonstrator

Contracting parties (Alice, Bob, Eve)

- trade OTC derivatives through their usual channels
- have a user interface at their disposal to create *digital doppelgängers* on the distributed ledger

These *digital doppelgängers* are replicated to all nodes on the ledger according the consensus protocol

Every node, including the regulator (Romeo) has access to the same information in semi-real time

Every node can produce the compliance reports

Set-up of the demonstrator (conceptual)



Set-up of the demonstrator

Specific aspects:

Client requested to demonstrate cheap 25 \in computers (Raspberry Pi's) could also run a node

However, these:

- Do not have a screen to run a user interface
- Do not have sufficient computing power to mine

As a consequence:

Standard off-the-shelve laptops were added to run the UI and do the mining

Furthermore, a dedicated user interface was developed to visualise the consensus



OTC Derivatives as smart contracts

A 'Smart Contract' is essentially a piece of software. It forms a contract capable of automatically enforcing itself, without a third party between individual participants. It is created and executed on the distributed ledger.

It contains the **contract logic**, the rules of what should happen at a certain point:

And it contains the **contract state**, in our case meaning interest rate, duration of the contract, nominal value, etc.

For this demonstrator we implemented two contracts:

- Bond (based on Actus PAM contract)
- Interest Rate Swap or IRS (based on Actus 'Plain Vanilla' PVSWAP contract)

Bond

What	Implemented as
A bond is a debt investment	A Smart Contract type that can be created on the blockchain, each contract has its ContractID (CID)
in which an investor loans money to the issuer which borrows the funds,	RecordCreator (LEIRC) and Counterparty (LEICP), specifying a currency (CUR) and NotionalPrincipal (NT)
for a defined period of time ,	MaturityDate (MD)
at variable or fixed interest rate,	e.g. National Nominal Interest Rate (IPNR)
paid at specific dates,	Cycle anchor date of interest payment (IPANX) and Cycle of interest payment (IPCL)
that can be transferred (sold) to another investor.	LEICP can be updated

	4
Bond (extract only)	//Series of setter and getter functions // Series of helper functions e.g. for conversions and handing of floats
pragma solidity^0.4.9;	function i nitialise (string _CID, string _LEIRC,
contract PAM{	// idem for _LEICP, _IED, _MD, _NT, etc
enum currencies { EUR, USD, GBP, JPY }	
enum cycles {Y, H, Q, M, W, D}	{periodCounter = 0;
	active = true;
//Actus contract attributes	$CID = _CID;$
string public CID: // ContractID	$LEIRC = _LEIRC;$
string public LEIRC; // LegalEntityIDRecordCreator	$LEICP = _LEICP;$
string public LEICP: // LegalEntityIDCounterparty	IED = _IED; // initial payment day
uint128 public CDD; // ContractDealDate = unixtimestamp	MD = MD; // end date of the contract
uint128 public IED: // InitialExchangeDate = unixtimestamp	NT = NT;
uint128 public MD: // MaturityDate = unixtimestamp	CUR = currencies(_CUR);
uint128[2] NT; // NotionalPrincipal	$IPNR = _IPNR;$
currencies public CUR: // Currency	$IPDU = \frac{30}{300};$
uint128[2] IPNR; // National Nominal Interest Rate	$IPAINA = _IPAINA;$ $IPCI = ovolos(_IPCI);$
string public IPDC; // Day Count Convention	$IFCL = Cycles(_IFCL),$ $IPCRA = IPCRA$
uint public IPANX; //cycle anchor date of interest payment = unixtim	SD = now
cycles public IPCL; // Cycle of interest payment	5D - 110W,
uint128[2] IPCBA; // InterestCalculationBaseAmount	Nvl = NT:
uint256 public SD; // Status Date = unixtimestamp	Nrt = Nrt:
	Led = SD;
//Actus state variables	}
uint128[2] Nvl; // Nominal Value	
uint128[2] Nrt; // Nominal Rate	// advance the period with 1
uint public Led; // Last event Date = unixtimestamp	<pre>function nextPeriod() constant returns (bool){</pre>
	Led = SD;
//Operational variables	SD = now;
bool public initialpaymentdone;	periodCounter += 1;
int public interestpayments;	}
bool public defaulted;	for the set D - for HO (
bool public active;	IUNCIION SELDETAUIT(){
uint128 periodCounter; // the total number of periods that have passe	1 uerauneu = urue;

•••••

Swap

What	Implemented as
A swap is a transaction between two parties	A Smart Contract type that can be created on the blockchain, each contract has its ContractID (CID) Parties are the RecordCreator (LEIRC) and Counterparty (LEICP),
These two parties agree to exchange cash flows in the future	Agreeing on the value for the swap, coded in nominalValue Agreeing on fixed parameters, coded in: fixedRate (interest rate) and fixedPayout (value),
	Agreeging on variable parameters, coded in variableRate (interest rate) and variablePayout (value)
They agree about the specific dates when the cash flows are to be paid	There is a start date SD, and a maturity date MD There is a constant defined that specifies the periods per year, PPY = 4, and a counter maintaining the contract's period
that can be transferred (sold) to another investor. ^{PwC}	LEICP can be updated

Swap (extract only)

pragma solidity^0.4.9; contract PAM{ enum currencies { EUR, USD, GBP, JPY } enum cycles {Y, H, Q, M, W, D}

//Actus contract attributes
//Actus state variables
//Operational variables

// Getter, setter and helper functions

function nextPeriod() returns (int128){

periodCounter += 1;

fixedPayout = [(((nominalValue[0])*fixedRate)/100) / 4,nominalValue[1]];

variablePayout = [(((nominalValue[0])*variableRate)/100)/4,nominalValue[1]];

payoutValue = [(fixedPayout[0]/fixedPayout[1]) - (variablePayout[0] / variablePayout[1]),1];

PwC

}

.....

Set-up of the demonstrator

Actors:

- Alice, Bob and Eve are contracting parties, using their respective *AliceUI* and *BobUI* (UI: UserInterface)
- **Romeo**, party with the reporting application, using the *RegulatorUI*
- The Narrator, tells the stories, uses the NarratorUI
 - Story 1: Bond, where Alice lends money to Bob and later merges with Eve to form AliceEve
 - Story 2: Interest Rate Swap, where Bob creates contract towards AliceEve and creates a new 'PlainVanillaSwap' (PVSWAP) contract with her
 - Story 3: AliceEve defaults on the PVSWAP contract
 - Showing the contents of the DLT, generating reports (EMIR, MIFIR, COREP) and demonstrating consensus takes place during the stories

Demonstrator Story 1: Bond

- In this story, Alice and Bob conclude a bond contract.
- On the blockchain a digital doppelgänger of this contract is created, and through consensus this appears in each node
- The Narrator simulates that time is advanced to the next quarter
- Romeo generates a regulatory report, a COREP report
 - All data available on-chain according ACTUS semantics
 - Hence all calculations can be performed in real-time
 - And report is available immediately

FISMAEV2¹⁰ × C localhost3202/newPAI × C loca

08:52 🗴 🗢 🗖

New bond contract

Contract ID:	Notional principal:
Contract 1	34500 EUR •
Owner:	National nominal interest rate (%):
Alice	1
Counterparty:	Interest cycles start date
Bob	09/22/2017
Initial exchange date:	Interest cycles
09/22/2017	Monthly
Maturity date:	
09/22/2020	

PwC

0 1 👤 🖘 🐗 🙆 😨 👔 😿 19:23 🖵





ß	Current	interest rate: 2	counterp %	arties Contrac	cts Select node+				
	Contr Type	acts: Contract ID	Owner	Counterparty	Initial exchange date	Maturity date	Nominal value		
	PAM	Contract 1	Alice	Bob	22-9-2017	22-9-2020	34500	View details Default next quarter	
	Curren	t quarter: Q2	2017 Ne	xt quarter					

9 Menu 🥅 💽 🌑 🛐 🕸 🗈 tmux -u attach	🔽 localhost:3100	10:06 🗴 O 🔳 < 🕕 >> 🔹 🔷	0 i 1 🗢 🚸 🗗 🐧 🕷 🕫 19-240

	· ·									
				5 Repor	t gei	herati	ion			
SI	MA-v2	2	corep_report (1).xlsx - LibreOffice Calc	o nepor	1 501	iciut		. 0	×	
e	dit View	Insert Format Sheet Data T	ools Window Help						×	☆
	• 10 •			* 🕴 🖾 🖸	問問	₩ /	= #	III 🖂	0	
ial			<u>ª·■·</u> ≢≒₹₹₩₽₽₽±\$%	00. 7 0 🗄 0.0	토린	⊞ • 🖽	• 🛄 •		»	
		\bullet f(x) Σ = 1. Credit Ris	k: Credit and counterparty credit risks and free deliveries: standardised approach to cap	ital requirements				•	≡	
	A	В	C	D	E	F	G	L I		
		1. Credit Risk: Credit and count	erparty credit risks and free deliveries: standardised approach to capital requi	rements						
N	umber	Field name	Details to be reported	Value						
	1	Exposure class	Indicates the specific class in which the exposure will be classified according to Article 112 of CRR.	Exposure to institution						
	2	SME	Indicates if the exposure value is an exposure towards a Small or Medium-sized Enterprise.	No					-	
	3	SME subject to SME-supporting factor	If the exposure value represents an exposure towards a Small or Medium -sized Enterprise, this field indicates if the SME is subject to the SME-supporting factor according to the requirements of Article 501 of the CRR.	No					-~∽ f(x)	
	4	Exposure type	Indicates the exposure type.	Derivatives and long settlement transactions						
	5	On-balance sheet or off-balance sheet exposure	Indicates if the exposure appears on the company's balance sheet or not.	On-balance sheet						
	6	Centrally cleared?	Indicates if the derivative is centrally cleared through a Qualifying Central Counterparty.	Yes						
	7	Securities Financing Transactions	Indicates if the transaction is a Securities Financing Transaction (SFT), as defined in paragraph 17 of the Basel Committee document The Application of Basel II to Trading Activities and the Treatment of Double Default Effects', includes: (i) Repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements defined in Article 4 (82) of CRR as well as securities or commodities lending and borrowing transactions; (ii) marain lending transactions as defined in Article 27 (3) of CRR.	No						
	8	Contractually Cross Product Netting?	Indicates that due to the existence of a contactual cross product netting (as defined in Article 272 (11) of CRR cannot be assigned to either Derivatives & Long Settlement Transactions or Securities Financing <u>Transactions</u>) shall be included here.	No						
	9	nth to default credit derivative?	Indicates if the exposure is related to a derivative where the nth default among the exposures shall trigger payment	No						
	10	Original exposure pre conversion factors	The exposure value without taking into account value adjustments and provisions, conversion factors and the effect of credit risk mitigation techniques.	1.189,72						
	11	Value adjustments and provisions associated with the original exposure	Value adjustments and provisions for credit losses made in accordance with the accounting framework to which the reporting entity is subject to.	0,00						
	12	Exposure net of value adjustments	Net exposure which is the difference between (8) and (9).	1.189,72						
C) H +	Credit risk CVA risk)					1		
et	1 of 2		PageStyle_Credit risk	I B	Sum=0			+	100%	

Demonstrator constraints

In the current demonstrator implementation:

- In the current set-up of the demonstrator, for simplification reasons, contracting information is reflected in the DLT, and all participants have access to it (may not be desirable for a production system)
- The contracting which takes place between parties is supposed to take place outside the blockchain universe (via phone or via another application)
- Only these contracts are then created on the blockchain
- The identities of the parties is not cryptographically confirmed (production system would do this e.g. with electronic authentication)
- The identity and state of the parties (e.g. legal entity definition, identity attributes, defaulted or not) is not maintained on the blockchain
- The authenticity of the contract itself is not cryptographically confirmed (production system would do this e.g. with electronic signatures)
- Valuation is done within the reporting application, taking into account the data on the blockchain as well as external data (currently hardcoded)

Further references

Open access publication describing the demonstrator in detail at <u>https://zenodo.org/record/884497#.W4zoTLhLeUn</u>

A movie of the demonstrator in action is available at <u>https://www.pwc.be/fismablockchain</u>

ACTUS: <u>http://actusfrf.org</u>

Also:

http://www.pwc.be/blockchain

http://www.marcsel.eu